IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

Un	ited States of America,) 0.05M 1420
	Plaintiff,) 8:05MJ138)
	VS.) DETENTION ORDER)
Hu	go Molina-Mejia,)
	Defendant.	,
A.	Order For Detention After conducting a detention hearing pursu Reform Act, the Court orders the above-na U.S.C. § 3142(e) and (i).	
B.	The Court orders the defendant's detention	n because it finds: e that no condition or combination of ne appearance of the defendant as hat no condition or combination of
C.	(b) The offense is a crime o	ervices Report, and includes the ne offense charged: en found in possession of a firearm is a serious crime and carries a 10 years imprisonment. of violence.
	may affect whet	<u> </u>

DETENTION ORDER - Page 2

	The defendant has no steady employment. The defendant has no substantial financial resources. The defendant is not a long time resident of the community. X The defendant does not have any significant community ties. Past conduct of the defendant:	
	The defendant has a history relating to drug abuse The defendant has a history relating to alcohol abuse The defendant has a significant prior criminal record The defendant has a prior record of failure to appear at court proceedings. (b) At the time of the current arrest, the defendant was on: Probation Parole Release pending trial, sentence, appeal or completion of sentence. (c) Other Factors: The defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to deportation The defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to	
	deportation if convicted. X The Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement (BICE) has placed a detainer with the U.S. Marshal. Other:	
(4)	(4) The nature and seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's release are as follows:	
(5) Rebuttable Presumptions In determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also relied on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S § 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: (a) That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably		
	assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves: (1) A crime of violence; or (2) An offense for which the maximum penalty is life imprisonment or death; or	

DETENTION ORDER	Page 3
	 (3) A controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty of 10 years or more; or (4) A felony after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior offenses described in (1) through (3) above, and the defendant has a prior conviction for one of the crimes mentioned in (1) through (3) above which is less than five years old and which was committed while the defendant was on pretrial release.
(b)	That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because the Court finds that there is probable cause to believe: (1) That the defendant has committed a controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty of 10 years or more. (2) That the defendant has committed an offense under
	18 U.S.C. § 924(c) (uses or carries a firearm during and in relation to any crime of violence, including a crime of violence, which provides for an enhanced punishment if committed by the use of a deadly or

D. Additional Directives

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:

 The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and

dangerous weapon or device).

- 2. The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and
- 3. That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED: October 5, 2005.

BY THE COURT:

s/ F. A. Gossett United States Magistrate Judge